

**Bureau of Land Management  
Winnemucca District Office  
HRFO (W010)**

**Categorical Exclusion**

**CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2015-0036-CX**

**Date: 8/4/2015**

Lease / Case File / Serial #: N-94289

Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law): 43 CFR 2920

BLM Manual: N/A

Subject Function Code: 2920

Is the project located within a Preliminary Priority Habitat? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Is the project located within a Preliminary General Priority Habitat? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Is the project located within a National Landscape Conservation System feature (NCA, Wilderness, WSA, ISA, Scenic or Historic Trails)? ☐ Yes ☒ No

---

1. BLM District Office: Winnemucca District Office

2. Name of Project Lead: Debbie Dunham

3. Project Title: Wag TV Film Permit

4. Applicant: Wag TV

5. Project Description: (briefly describe who, what, when, where, why, how)  
Rory Kaufhold, submitted a film permit on July 23, 2015, for Wag TV, to film a Discovery Science Documentary Series called "What on Earth." The filming will involve two members of crew (Ian Brenmer – Director and Rory Kaufhold – Assistant Producer), filming Brian and Charlotte Smith as they investigate the concrete arrows that can be seen on the ground in the desert in Nevada. The investigation will involve filming Brian and Charlotte walking to the arrows, looking at the arrows, and being interviewed about the history of the arrows.

The filming would take one day, most likely August 17, 2015, but they would like the dates of August 17 – 24, in case their schedule changes.

Project dimensions (length, width, height, depth):

Total Acres: 0.010

BLM Acres: 0.010

Will the project result in new surface disturbance? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the project area been previously disturbed? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

If yes, what percent of the project area has been disturbed? 100% . If only part of the project area has been disturbed, indicate disturbed area on map. Describe disturbance (and attach photo of disturbed area if you have one):

6. Legal Description: T. 35 N., R. 41 E., sec. 7, NENE;  
T. 35 N., R. 42 E., sec. 18, SENE, NESE.

250k map name: Winnemucca, NV

Land Status: ☒ BLM ☐ Private ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_.

### **Part I: Plan Conformance Review**

The Proposed Action is subject to the:

- ☒ Winnemucca Resource Management Plan
- ☐ Black Rock Desert-High Rock Canyon Emigrant Trails NCA and Associated Wilderness and Other Contiguous Lands in Nevada RMP

The proposed action is in conformance with the RMP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following RMP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions):

Goal: Retain, dispose (through sales and exchanges), or acquire public lands for public benefit, in order to consolidate lands patterns, ensure effective administration, improve resource management, maintain public values and access to public lands, and promote community development. Acquire land and conservation easements to protect resources, improve administration, and provide for public access and recreational opportunities. Meet public needs for use authorizations such as rights-of-way (ROWs), leases, and permits, while minimizing adverse impacts on other resources. Process withdrawal actions as requested by BLM or other federal agencies, and resolve trespass issues when arise.

### **Part II: NEPA Review**

Categorical Exclusion Review: This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under:

- ☐ 43 CFR 46.210 DOI Implementation of NEPA of 1969, Listing of Departmental Categorical Exclusions (*formerly 516 DM2 Appendix 1*)
- ☒ 516 DM 11.9, (BLM) Appendix 4, E. Realty #19: Issuance of short-term (3 years or less) rights-of-way or land use authorizations for such uses as storage sites, apiary sites, and construction sites where the proposal includes rehabilitation to restore the land to its natural or original condition.

**ESA and BLM Sensitive Status Species**

<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
1. Are species listed under the Endangered Species Act likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in Table 1 below. Verify with USFWS or use approved list.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Are BLM NV Sensitive Species, based upon the current IM, likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in the Table 1 below.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Could the proposed action result in “take” under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act? If yes, attach appropriate mitigation measures.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Table 1. Special Status Species that may occur in the project area:**

<b>ESA</b>	<b>BLM</b>	<b>Common (Scientific) Name</b>	<b>May Be Affected?</b>	<b>Mitigation for BLM Sensitive Species (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization) (Attach ESA Section 7 Compliance to Form, if applicable)</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Greater sage-grouse ( <i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pygmy rabbit ( <i>Brachylagus idahoensis</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

**Table 2. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Consideration**

<b>Potential MBTA Species w/in the Project Area Common (Scientific) Name</b>	<b>May Be Affected?</b>	<b>Recommended Mitigation (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization)</b>
The following list is a representative, but not an inclusive list of migratory birds that may utilize the proposed project area:  Prairie falcon ( <i>Falco mexicanus</i> ), Swainson’s hawk ( <i>Buteo swainsoni</i> ), sage thrasher ( <i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i> ), common poorwill ( <i>Phalaenoptilus nuttallii</i> ), Brewer’s sparrow ( <i>Spizella</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

breweri), common raven ( <i>Corvus corax</i> ), gray flycatcher ( <i>Empidonax wrightii</i> ), sagebrush sparrow ( <i>Artemisiospiza nevadensis</i> ), and horned lark ( <i>Eremophila alpestris</i> ).		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

*Mitigation Measures/Remarks (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization):*

The Proposed Action has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 43 CFR 46.215 Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances apply. (See attached page).

### Part III: DECISION:

I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved Resource Management Plan and that no other environmental analysis is required.

☒ Project authorization is subject to mitigation measures identified above. (This is a NEPA Decision. A separate program implementation decision is necessary.)

☐ Based on regulatory authority or law that allows BLM to take action, it is my decision to allow for implementation of the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified above and attached as stipulations, conditions of approval, terms of conditions, etc. This is a combined NEPA and program implementation decision.

Authorized Official \s\ Aron C. King  
(Signature)

Date: 8-14-15

#### Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities

A person who wishes to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals must do so under 43 CFR 4.411 and must file in the office of the officer who made the decision (not the board), in writing to Aron King, Humboldt River Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445. A person served with the decision being appealed must transmit the notice of appeal in time to be filed in the office where it is required to be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of service.

The notice of appeal must give the serial number or other identification of the case and may include a statement of reasons for the appeal, a statement of standing if required by § 4.412(b), and any arguments the appellant wishes to make. Form 1842-1 provides additional information regarding filing an appeal.

No extension of time will be granted for filing a notice of appeal. If a notice of appeal is filed after the grace period provided in §4.401(a), the notice of appeal will not be considered and the case will be closed by the officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. If the appeal is filed during the grace period provided in §4.401(a) and the delay in filing is not waived, as provided in that section, the notice of appeal will not be considered and the appeal will be dismissed by the Board.

The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statements of reason, written arguments, or briefs under §4.413 on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and on the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Regional Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, California 95825-1890. Service must be accompanied by personally serving a copy to the party or by sending the document by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address of record in the bureau, no later than 15 days after filing the document.

In addition, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision you have the right to file a petition for a stay together with your appeal in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.21. The petition must be served upon the same parties specified above.

Pursuant to 43 CFR 4.471(c), a petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

43 CFR 4.471 (d) provides that the appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

At the conclusion of any document that a party must serve, the party or its representative must sign a written statement certifying that service has been or will be made in accordance with the applicable rules and specifying the date and manner of such service (43 CFR 4.422(c)(2)).